

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,651	CSOREGI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan Hanley	1651	

All Participants:

(1) Susan Hanley. (3) ____.
 (2) Cameron Weiffenbach. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 14 January 2005

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claim 29

Claims discussed:

Claim 29

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Cameron agreed to the cancelation of claim 29 by Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)